, ,,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/923,974	WORLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph S. Del Sole	1722
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Joseph S. Del Sole (PTO).	(3)	
(2) Mr. J Daniel Lykins (Applicant's rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 October 2003	Time: <u>2:53 pm</u>	,
	cant's representative)	i
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 8 and 21-28		
Prior art documents discussed:		
·		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Joseph Sille (o/B) 103	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)
(Examiner or E Signature) / / (Applied	and applicant of topicoontative	a

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Application No. 09/923,974

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Lykins to request an amendment to the first line of claim 1 pursuant to the change suggested by the Examiner in the previous office action, and to request the cancellation of claims 8, 15-18 and 21-28. Mr. Lykins agreed to the changes as set forth in the attached Examiner's amendment.